

## **Evidence Supplemental to Constitutional Correspondence Issued on 18 November 2025**

This constitutional correspondence can be viewed and downloaded at:

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/redacted\\_constitutional\\_correspondence\\_sent\\_18112025.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/redacted_constitutional_correspondence_sent_18112025.pdf)

The evidence and documentation which now follows below, demonstrates, beyond any reasonable doubt, that police showed no independent professional curiosity in determining whether serious criminal offences had been committed.

Instead, the only consistent tactic was to shut every report down and prevent crime numbers from being issued. The rule of law, the victim-led approach, basic safeguarding principles and established best practice were all deliberately set aside.

This resulted in serious crimes, identifiable suspects and extensive evidence being systematically hidden, dismissed and ignored under a blanket UK-wide instruction.

The abruptly closed Metropolitan Police case, Crime Reference Number 6029679/21, became the template across all four nations, used to justify the blanket rejection of every similar crime allegation submitted within respective policing jurisdictions.

Despite thousands of people submitting detailed evidence of serious criminal conduct in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, a nationwide ban was imposed, preventing these matters from being investigated at all. There are compelling indications that this ban remains in effect, even today.

The positions taken by the National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC), Gold Command and senior officers such as Police Scotland's Deputy Chief Constable Alan Speirs, together with contradictory statements given to the Covid Inquiry, the damning findings of HMICFRS and indeed the warnings raised in the House of Lords, paint a bleak picture of policing in disarray. The approach was improvised, inconsistent and devoid of lawful foundation.

The contradictions, denials and suppression of crime reports across the UK demonstrate the existence of a two-tier system, one which actively disadvantaged and marginalised thousands of innocent victims from seeking lawful protection.

Why did this happen?

Because any full and transparent criminal investigation would inevitably expose criminal wrongdoing carried out by individuals within public office, including, potentially, senior policing figures themselves.

This is not independent policing. It is policing functioning as an extension of the executive government establishment - operating to shield itself, to withhold truth from the public and to prevent accountability for those responsible, to a degree which has misled not only the people of Britain, but has also misled the judiciary.

The system now appears designed not to protect the public from harm, but to protect the system from the public.

A video, presentation by Mark Sexton accompanies this evidence release - the video can be viewed using this link:

[https://youtu.be/rEBkLzH2e\\_E?si=19zXNSqWi3HXHWnG](https://youtu.be/rEBkLzH2e_E?si=19zXNSqWi3HXHWnG)

## **The Leak in the Wall:**

### **Part 1 - Police Scotland's FOI Disclosure**

Core assertions:

20 March 2025 disclosure of a specific directive changed everything and proved NPCC / Gold involvement.

#### **Exhibit 1**

FOI 25-0673 Letter

The FOI response itself, dated 20 March 2025 is the “leak in the wall” piece: it confirms that a particular directive existed, its terms and also, the involvement of NPCC / Gold provenance.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/police\\_scotland\\_foi\\_response\\_20032025.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/police_scotland_foi_response_20032025.pdf)

## **The Leak in the Wall:**

## Part 2 - The Hidden Directive: Speirs and Scotland

Core assertions:

Police Scotland issued a secret directive on 25 Jan 2022 ordering rejection of all vaccine-related crime reports, said to be on National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC) / UK Gold Command advice.

### Exhibit 2

FOI 25-0673: Speirs Directive (Police Scotland FOI Disclosure - 20 March 2025)

The original directive email, explicitly instructing officers to reject vaccine-related crime reports and log them only as SID/CVI, and stating it was issued “*on the advice of the NPCC and UK Gold Command.*”

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/FOI\\_25\\_0673\\_police\\_scotland\\_speirs\\_directive.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/FOI_25_0673_police_scotland_speirs_directive.pdf)

### Exhibit 3

Speirs Email of 18 November 2025 and Ian Clayton's response of 19 November 2025

Alan Speirs' retrospective explanation reproducing the same narrative and acknowledging the statement was published on the Police Scotland intranet, confirming provenance and scope.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/emails from 20092025 to 19112025.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/emails_from_20092025_to_19112025.pdf)

## **The Papers Don't Match: NPCC's Own Disclosures**

Core assertions:

NPCC's internal FOI documents operationally corroborate the Speirs directive (non-recording guidance, central co-ordination, etc.).

### **Exhibit 4**

NPCC Internal Emails on "*Guidance Not to Record*"

The email chain, where national guidance about handling vaccine reports is discussed and where non-recording / diversion is described as "*successful*".

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/a4\\_FW\\_Full\\_Fact\\_Time\\_sensitive\\_false\\_claims\\_of\\_live\\_i\\_250715\\_164048.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/a4_FW_Full_Fact_Time_sensitive_false_claims_of_live_i_250715_164048.pdf)

## **Exhibit 5**

NPCC / National Police Coordination Centre (NPoCC)  
(Strategic Intelligence & Briefing (SIB) Operational Briefing  
Note

A briefing slide pack showing:

- Talla-linked coordination,
- national monitoring of vaccine-related approaches, and
- central handling of FOI/media responses.

This evidence makes NPCC denials untenable.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/a3\\_Q1\\_Anti\\_Vaccination\\_Briefing\\_note\\_REDACTED\\_Final\\_250715\\_163904.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/a3_Q1_Anti_Vaccination_Briefing_note_REDACTED_Final_250715_163904.pdf)

## **The UK-Wide Pattern:**

### **Quietly Closing the Gates**

Core assertions:

Same rejection pattern appears across all four UK nations; frontline officers say they are “not allowed” to take evidence.

## **Exhibit 6**

### Police Scotland Street-Level Video

Video where officers tell a member of the public they are not allowed to take that evidence in relation to the vaccines.

This took place at a police station. It involved a group of people and this was new evidence being referred to, which had been gathered from Covid Inquiry submissions, some 2 years after the January 2022 directive (long after Operation Talla had officially ended. It presents yet more shocking evidence of an unlawful blanket ban on all crime recording or investigations - a policy which is astonishingly, still in force.

<https://youtube.com/shorts/1e90oAtJoz0?si=8xX1aI2UOeLQul1c>

## **Exhibit 7**

### NPCC FOI Disclosures

Passages showing:

- central briefings on vaccine-related approaches;



- satisfaction that “guidance not to record” or similar had been followed by forces.
- These together show both policy-level and frontline suppression.

From pages 14, 19, 20 on this Exhibit 7, it can be established that the MPS have acknowledged the worldwide interest surrounding this particular crime report.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/NPCC\\_FOI\\_disclosures.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/NPCC_FOI_disclosures.pdf)

## **Exhibit 8**

NPCC letter dated 24 July 2025

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/Date\\_24\\_07\\_2025\\_2368\\_2025\\_NPCC\\_Response\\_Letter\\_Disclosures\\_re\\_Op\\_T\\_250724\\_155257.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/Date_24_07_2025_2368_2025_NPCC_Response_Letter_Disclosures_re_Op_T_250724_155257.pdf)

Comment by Ethical Approach UK re the NPCC letter of 24 July 2025:

*“No UK policing agreement, whether NPCC-led, Section 22a based, or arranged separately with Police Scotland and/or Police Service Northern Ireland (PSNI), can override the legal duties of any UK police force.*

## The Cover Story:

### “No National Instruction” and “Vexatious” FOIs

Core assertions:

NPCC denied any national instruction, denied Talla as a command structure and labelled Ethical Approach lawful FOIs “*vexatious*.”

#### Exhibit 9

NPCC FOI Response Labelling Ethical Approach FOIs as “*Vexatious*”

The letter/email where NPCC explicitly uses the “*vexatious*” tag in response to Ethical Approach UK Operation Talla and vaccine-reporting questions.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/2558\\_2025\\_NPCC\\_Response\\_Letter\\_Operation\\_Talla\\_251125\\_225452.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/2558_2025_NPCC_Response_Letter_Operation_Talla_251125_225452.pdf)

#### Exhibit 10

NPCC FOI Responses Denying National Instruction.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/npcc\\_letter\\_14052025\\_page2.jpg](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/npcc_letter_14052025_page2.jpg)

These now sit in direct contradiction with the Speirs Directive and NPCC's own internal material.

### **NOTE ALSO:**

Police Scotland's 25 January 2022 Speirs Directive explicitly states it was issued "*on the advice of the NPCC and UK Gold Command*", which directly contradicts the NPCC's later evidence to the Covid Inquiry denying that any national instruction or guidance to reject vaccine-related complaints ever existed.

**Exhibit 10a** in link below provides relevant evidence of contradiction:

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/NQ000587776\\_251109\\_095908.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/NQ000587776_251109_095908.pdf)

Both key documents - the Rule 9 Statement to the Covid Inquiry (INQ000587776) AND the Speirs Directive (25 January 2022) were authored by the same individual: Deputy Chief Constable (formerly Assistant Chief Constable) Alan Speirs.

## **The Promise and the Police**

Core assertions:

Operation Talla as a UK-wide framework; early drift into enforcing guidance as law.

### **Exhibit 11**

Essex Police “*Specific Privacy Notice - COVID-19 (Operation Talla)*” (30 March 2020)

Shows Operation Talla named as the operational framework, with “enhanced” COVID-specific data gathering.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/essex\\_police\\_talla\\_screens\\_hot.jpg](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/essex_police_talla_screens_hot.jpg)

### **Exhibit 12**

Social media post by PC Unsworth (Northamptonshire Police - April 2021) referencing Operation Talla Policing.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/pc\\_unsworth\\_talla\\_X\\_post\\_April\\_2021.jpg](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/pc_unsworth_talla_X_post_April_2021.jpg)

### **Exhibit 13**

H.M. Inspectorate of Constabulary and Fire & Rescue Services (HMICFRS) Early Pandemic Report (April 2020)

Passages where HMICFRS records police acting as “coercive agents of ministers” and enforcing ministerial guidance as if it were law.

(Please refer to page 14)

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/hmicfrs\\_report\\_april\\_2021.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/hmicfrs_report_april_2021.pdf)

## **Exhibit 14**

House of Lords Constitutional Report (June 2021)

Highlights misrepresentation of the law and the blurring of guidance v legal restrictions, leading to police enforcing non-laws.

Please refer to:

CHAPTER 4: LEGAL CLARITY AND ACCESSIBILITY

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/HoL\\_Constitutional\\_Report\\_document\(6\)\\_251126\\_094913.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/HoL_Constitutional_Report_document(6)_251126_094913.pdf)

## **The First Serious Challenge:**

**Crime Reference Number (CRN) 6029679/21**

Core assertions:

CRN created; portal opened; witnesses told investigation was underway.

### **Exhibit 15**

Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) CRN Letter from Detective Superintendent (DS) Tor Garnett (21 February 2022)

Confirms reference 6029679/21, describes the allegations and states MPS has considered them.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/tor\\_garnett\\_correspondence\\_21022022.jpg](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/tor_garnett_correspondence_21022022.jpg)

### **Exhibit 16**

MPS Box.com Evidence Portal (screenshots)

Shows a live secure upload portal branded “MPS” for CRN 6029679/21, evidencing that an organised evidence-gathering mechanism was in place.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/invitation\\_to\\_MPS\\_evidence\\_dropbox.jpg](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/invitation_to_MPS_evidence_dropbox.jpg)

### **Exhibit 17**

This Evidence Portal for CRN 6029679/21 still remains operational as of 25 November 2025.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/MPS\\_dropbox\\_screenshot\\_25112025.png](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/MPS_dropbox_screenshot_25112025.png)

### **Exhibit 18**

Mark Sexton email to Independent Office for Police Conduct (IOPC), confirming hundreds of Written statements were handed into Hammersmith in person.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/Mark\\_Sexton\\_email\\_to\\_IOPC\\_25\\_May.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/Mark_Sexton_email_to_IOPC_25_May.pdf)

### **Exhibit 19**

Part of the actual evidence itself, which was physically submitted in person to the MPS and receipts/emails relating to the submissions, signed by / involving interaction with MPS personnel

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/MPS\\_submission\\_evidence.png](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/MPS_submission_evidence.png)

### **Exhibit 20**

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Request by Ian Clayton on behalf of Ethical Approach UK (12 September 2025) to MPS - Operation Talla / CRN 6029679/21 and Related Guidance - No response received from MPS

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/FormSubmission\\_foi\\_request\\_formfoi\\_24460\\_25\\_0100\\_0\\_250912\\_173626.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/FormSubmission_foi_request_formfoi_24460_25_0100_0_250912_173626.pdf)

## **Exhibit 21**

Email correspondence - Ian Clayton / MPS (July to September 2025).

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/emails\\_16072025\\_to\\_05092025\\_MPS\\_Clayton\\_REDACTED.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/emails_16072025_to_05092025_MPS_Clayton_REDACTED.pdf)

## **Exhibit 22**

This letter from Andrew Bridgen MP to Sir Mark Rowley, Commissioner of the MPS, formally raises concerns about potential criminality connected to the Government's Covid-19 response and requests urgent engagement from senior MPS leadership.

Sir Mark Rowley did not reply.

His non-response contributes to the evidential pattern of high-level avoidance, when criminal concerns about the vaccine programme have been submitted, even by elected officials.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/a\\_bridgen\\_letter\\_20022024.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/a_bridgen_letter_20022024.pdf)



## **The Shift:**

### **“No Offences, No Investigation”**

Core assertions:

Public “no offences / no grounds” line contradicts the operational reality of evidence gathering.

#### **Exhibit 23**

Tor Garnett CRN Closure Letter (21 February 2022)

States the vaccines are safe, alleges “no basis in the available evidence” and concludes there is no criminal offence and “no further action will be taken.”

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/tor\\_garnett\\_correspondence\\_21022022.jpg](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/tor_garnett_correspondence_21022022.jpg)

#### **Exhibit 24**

Evidence of Portal and Witness Assurances

Combination of:

Box.com portal evidence ([Exhibits 16 and 17 above](#)) and MPS call audio from a member of the public.

Audio link:

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/MetropolitanPolice\\_investigation\\_Confirmation\\_audio.m4a](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/MetropolitanPolice_investigation_Confirmation_audio.m4a)

Together they demonstrate the fracture: an active evidence-collection infrastructure plus assurances, followed by a bald public “no investigation / no offences” closure.

## **The Judicial Angle:**

### **Poole J and the JR**

Core assertions:

Judge Poole ruled no investigation, out of time, and without merit, without being told material facts (portal, 400 statements, Speirs-type policy, etc.).

### **Exhibit 25**

Judge Poole Judicial Review Ruling (AC-2023-LON-001880)

The order and reasons where he states:

- no investigation occurred,
- the claim is out of time, and

- it is without merit.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/JR\\_ruling\\_AC-2023-LON-001880%20\(1\)\\_250803\\_133225.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/JR_ruling_AC-2023-LON-001880%20(1)_250803_133225.pdf)

## **Exhibit 26 (notional)**

Evidence Showing Undisclosed Material Facts

A two-item bundle:

- (1) Tor Garnett letter and Box portal (**Exhibits 16 and 23 above**), and
- (2) Mark Sexton evidence about 400 witness / expert / whistleblower statements submitted and officers confirming an investigation was underway (**Exhibit 24 above**).

Those pieces together show that what Poole was told (no investigation, nothing to disclose) was incompatible with the actual investigative footprint.

## **Exhibit 27**

Is Poole J's finding actually sustainable?

A forensic legal analysis.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/poole\\_CRN\\_and\\_JR\\_considerations.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/poole_CRN_and_JR_considerations.pdf)

## What Police Have Really Defended

Core assertions:

Police defended the Executive's political vaccine interests by keeping allegations out of the criminal system altogether.

### Exhibit 28 (notional)

Speirs Directive and NPCC Internal Guidance (**Exhibits 2 and 4 above**)

Side-by-side, these show:

a blanket non-recording order and UK-wide coordination of the same approach.

This illustrates that the system was designed to block entry into the criminal process.

### Exhibit 29

Covid Inquiry Email to Mark Sexton (July 2022)

The Inquiry's email explicitly stating that:

it cannot determine criminal liability, and

serious criminality evidence must be referred to the police, who are the correct authority to investigate crime.

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/email\\_from\\_UKCovidInquiry\\_25072022.jpg](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/email_from_UKCovidInquiry_25072022.jpg)

## **Additional References (for info)**

### **Exhibit 30**

Sir Graham Brady and the Birdcage Walk Meeting

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/graham\\_brady\\_and\\_the\\_meeting\\_at\\_birdcage\\_walk.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/graham_brady_and_the_meeting_at_birdcage_walk.pdf)

### **Exhibit 31**

The emails

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/brady\\_emails\\_sept\\_oct\\_2021.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/brady_emails_sept_oct_2021.pdf)

### **Exhibit 32**

Sir Graham Brady Interview in July 2023 in which he states, “the British public were taken for a ride” and “Eminent scientists were silenced”

[https://youtube.com/shorts/mCRng7-x-\\_M?si=VlYlensTfVO6B7TY](https://youtube.com/shorts/mCRng7-x-_M?si=VlYlensTfVO6B7TY)

### **Exhibit 33**

“Independent” News Article (2021)

Senior Tory MP Sir Graham Brady ‘met with anti-vaxxers’

MP reportedly says listening to views ‘does not amount to endorsement’

Author: Zoe Tidman

Published: Thursday 30 September 2021

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/covid-antivaxxers-graham-brady-meeting-b1930027.html>

### **Exhibit 34**

The UK minister responsible for the COVID-19 vaccine programme, Nadim Zahawi MP, Warwickshire Police and Superintendent Peter Hill

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/nadhim\\_zahawi\\_and\\_warwickshire\\_police.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/nadhim_zahawi_and_warwickshire_police.pdf)

### **Exhibit 35**

The emails

[https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/emails\\_zahawi\\_june\\_2021.pdf](https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/emails_zahawi_june_2021.pdf)

### **Exhibit 36**

The video of correspondence delivery

<https://youtu.be/OislW9BqhCo?si=Qiu4bbe5ygn0us8u>